

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/777,573	HSU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Necholus Ogden	1751	

**All Participants:**

(1) Necholus Ogden.

(2) Rimma Mittleman.

**Status of Application:** non-final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 24 May 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*obvious type double patenting*

Claims discussed:  
 1-9

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Applicant's rep. agreed to submit a terminal disclaimer over application 10/663,310.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)